

Renewable energy projects - permit-granting processes & power purchase agreements

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

BACKGROUND FOR THIS CONSULTATION

An overhaul of the energy system, which accounts for more than 75% of the EU's greenhouse gas emissions and is still dominated by fossil fuels, will be needed to achieve the ambition of the [European Green Deal](#). In particular, such overhaul will be needed to reach the EU's increased climate target for 2030 and the objective of climate-neutrality by 2050, while tackling biodiversity loss, pollution and resources depletion. An integrated energy system largely based on renewable energy plays a central role in the successful implementation of this EU flagship initiative.

With the [proposal for a revision of the Renewables Directive](#), the Commission sets out its aim of doubling the share of renewables in the energy mix compared to 2020, so as to reach at least 40% in 2030. The current pace of project deployment will need to accelerate significantly to meet the needed capacity increase on time.

However, permit-related and other administrative barriers constitute a major bottleneck for the deployment of renewable energy due to regulatory complexity, uncertainty and lengthy procedures, which discourage investors, delay projects and make them more expensive. Barriers also hamper the development of renewable Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs), which should become a major driver for increased market-based renewables deployment in the coming years.

What is the purpose of the guidance?

[The 2018 Renewable Energy Directive \(RED\)](#), with a transposition deadline of 30 June 2021, already introduced new requirements on permit application and granting procedures for all renewable projects, and required the Member States to identify and remove unjustified barriers to long-term renewable PPAs. However, the transposition and implementation of the relevant articles may present a challenge for the relevant authorities. Furthermore, industry stakeholders have identified additional permit-related barriers outside the scope of the RED and have requested clarification of the regulatory provisions concerning administrative procedures relating to project preparation and sharing of best practice, which could guide the permitting authorities in applying the procedures.

While the majority of renewables deployed are still driven by publicly-funded support schemes, the number of renewable PPAs with corporates is increasing significantly and should become a major driver for more

market-based renewables deployment in the coming years. A more complex set of long-term contracting options will be used by renewable projects, based on public support, on PPAs taking a variety of forms, or on a combination of both. Although Member States were required to report and remove any barriers to these renewable PPAs in their national energy and climate plans, only eight Member States have done so.

The planned guidance will highlight the most pervasive permit-related and administrative barriers to renewable energy projects as well as general challenges in the transposition of the relevant articles of the Renewable Energy Directive, and showcase corresponding good practice examples. It will also highlight the remaining bottlenecks preventing PPAs from achieving their full potential to deliver additional renewables generation capacity; and to provide good-practice examples/solutions in this regard.

What is the purpose of this consultation?

This questionnaire aims to collect views on permitting and Power Purchase Agreements from renewable energy producing companies, energy communities, and branch organizations, as well as public authorities, citizens and companies (including SMEs), as well as other relevant energy stakeholders. The questionnaire is divided into the following three sections:

- Accelerating permit procedures for renewable energy projects – questions to public authorities
- Accelerating permit procedures for renewable energy projects – questions to project promoters and associations
- Facilitating Power Purchase Agreements

You may choose to respond to either of these sections, or to all.

How can I participate?

You can complete this questionnaire on the Commission website up to 12 April 2022. Please use the buttons at the bottom of each part of the questionnaire (on permits and on PPAs) to upload feedback in other document formats.

A synopsis report of this public consultation and a summary of all consultation activity results will be published on this page at the end of the consultation period.

RESPONDING TO THIS CONSULTATION AND FOLLOW-UP

In line with 'better regulation' principles, the Commission is launching this public consultation designed to gather stakeholder views on good practices designed to accelerate permit-related procedures for renewable energy projects and to facilitate Power Purchase Agreements.

This public consultation is part of a planned larger stakeholder consultation which will feed into the Commission's work on the guidance. Views are welcome from all stakeholders.

Please note: To ensure a fair and transparent consultation process only responses received through our online questionnaire will be taken into account and included in the report summarising the responses. Should you have a problem completing this questionnaire or if you require particular assistance, please contact ENER-C1-SECRETARIAT-1@ec.europa.eu.

About you

* Language of my contribution

- Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch
- English
- Estonian
- Finnish
- French
- German
- Greek
- Hungarian
- Irish
- Italian
- Latvian
- Lithuanian
- Maltese
- Polish
- Portuguese
- Romanian
- Slovak
- Slovenian
- Spanish
- Swedish

* I am giving my contribution as

- Academic/research institution
- Business association
- Company/business organisation
- Consumer organisation
- EU citizen
- Environmental organisation
- Non-EU citizen

- Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
- Public authority
- Trade union
- Other

* First name

* Surname

* Email (this won't be published)

* Organisation name

255 character(s) maximum

* Organisation size

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the [transparency register](#). It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

* Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

- Afghanistan
- Djibouti
- Libya
- Saint Martin
- Åland Islands
- Dominica
- Liechtenstein
- Saint Pierre and Miquelon

- Albania
- Algeria
- American Samoa
- Andorra
- Angola
- Anguilla
- Antarctica
- Antigua and Barbuda
- Argentina
- Armenia
- Aruba
- Australia
- Austria
- Azerbaijan
- Bahamas
- Bahrain
- Bangladesh
- Barbados
- Belarus
- Belgium
- Belize
- Benin
- Bermuda
- Bhutan
- Bolivia
- Dominican Republic
- Ecuador
- Egypt
- El Salvador
- Equatorial Guinea
- Eritrea
- Estonia
- Eswatini
- Ethiopia
- Falkland Islands
- Faroe Islands
- Fiji
- Finland
- France
- French Guiana
- French Polynesia
- French Southern and Antarctic Lands
- Gabon
- Georgia
- Germany
- Ghana
- Gibraltar
- Greece
- Greenland
- Grenada
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Macau
- Madagascar
- Malawi
- Malaysia
- Maldives
- Mali
- Malta
- Marshall Islands
- Martinique
- Mauritania
- Mauritius
- Mayotte
- Mexico
- Micronesia
- Moldova
- Monaco
- Mongolia
- Montenegro
- Montserrat
- Morocco
- Mozambique
- Myanmar/Burma
- Namibia
- Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
- Samoa
- San Marino
- São Tomé and Príncipe
- Saudi Arabia
- Senegal
- Serbia
- Seychelles
- Sierra Leone
- Singapore
- Sint Maarten
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Solomon Islands
- Somalia
- South Africa
- South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands
- South Korea
- South Sudan
- Spain
- Sri Lanka
- Sudan
- Suriname
- Svalbard and Jan Mayen
- Sweden

- Bonaire Saint Eustatius and Saba
- Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Botswana
- Bouvet Island
- Brazil
- British Indian Ocean Territory
- British Virgin Islands
- Brunei
- Bulgaria
- Burkina Faso
- Burundi
- Cambodia
- Cameroon
- Canada
- Cape Verde
- Cayman Islands
- Central African Republic
- Chad
- Chile
- China
- Christmas Island
- Clipperton
- Guadeloupe
- Guam
- Guatemala
- Guernsey
- Guinea
- Guinea-Bissau
- Guyana
- Haiti
- Heard Island and McDonald Islands
- Honduras
- Hong Kong
- Hungary
- Iceland
- India
- Indonesia
- Iran
- Iraq
- Ireland
- Isle of Man
- Israel
- Italy
- Jamaica
- Nauru
- Nepal
- Netherlands
- New Caledonia
- New Zealand
- Nicaragua
- Niger
- Nigeria
- Niue
- Norfolk Island
- Northern Mariana Islands
- North Korea
- North Macedonia
- Norway
- Oman
- Pakistan
- Palau
- Palestine
- Panama
- Papua New Guinea
- Paraguay
- Peru
- Switzerland
- Syria
- Taiwan
- Tajikistan
- Tanzania
- Thailand
- The Gambia
- Timor-Leste
- Togo
- Tokelau
- Tonga
- Trinidad and Tobago
- Tunisia
- Turkey
- Turkmenistan
- Turks and Caicos Islands
- Tuvalu
- Uganda
- Ukraine
- United Arab Emirates
- United Kingdom
- United States

- Cocos (Keeling) Islands
- Colombia
- Comoros
- Congo
- Cook Islands
- Costa Rica
- Côte d'Ivoire
- Croatia
- Cuba
- Curaçao
- Cyprus
- Czechia
- Democratic Republic of the Congo
- Denmark
- Japan
- Jersey
- Jordan
- Kazakhstan
- Kenya
- Kiribati
- Kosovo
- Kuwait
- Kyrgyzstan
- Laos
- Latvia
- Lebanon
- Lesotho
- Liberia
- Philippines
- Pitcairn Islands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Puerto Rico
- Qatar
- Réunion
- Romania
- Russia
- Rwanda
- Saint Barthélemy
- Saint Helena
Ascension and
Tristan da Cunha
- Saint Kitts and
Nevis
- Saint Lucia
- United States
Minor Outlying
Islands
- Uruguay
- US Virgin Islands
- Uzbekistan
- Vanuatu
- Vatican City
- Venezuela
- Vietnam
- Wallis and
Futuna
- Western Sahara
- Yemen
- Zambia
- Zimbabwe

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. **For the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published.** Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

* Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

* Which renewable energy technology do you work with/represent? (multiple choice)

- Wind onshore
- Wind offshore
- Ground-mounted PV
- PV rooftop
- Ocean energy
- Biomass
- Hydropower
- Geothermal
- Ambient heat
- Other

* In which markets are you active (for companies/legal persons)/where are you from (for physical persons)?

- Austria
- Belgium
- Bulgaria
- Croatia
- Cyprus
- Czechia
- Denmark
- Estonia

- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Greece
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Italy
- Latvia
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Malta
- Netherlands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Romania
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Spain
- Sweden
- Countries outside of the EU

* Please specify (countries outside of the EU)

300 character(s) maximum

Switzerland, United Kingdom, Turkey

* Do you represent:

- A renewable energy community within the meaning of the [Renewable Energy Directive](#) (Art. 2(16) and Art. 22)?
- A citizen energy community within the meaning of the [Electricity Directive](#) (Art. 2 (11) and Art. 16)?
- Another type of energy community – please specify
- An individual or collective self-consumer of renewable energy
- None of these

I agree with the [personal data protection provisions](#)

* Which part(s) of the questionnaire do you want to fill in?

- Permitting - questions to public authorities
- Permitting - questions to project promoters and associations
- Questions on Power Purchase Agreements

Permits for renewable energy projects

To public authorities

* 1. What are the main challenges to the expansion of renewable energy in your jurisdiction? (multiple choice question)

- Lack of knowledge or data with regards to renewable energy projects and/or the necessary permitting procedures
- The availability of sites on land or at sea
- Lack of political support
- Lack of public acceptance / conflict between public goods
- Insufficient, inadequate or out-dated regulatory framework
- Duration of procedures
- Lack of grid capacity
- Cost
- Other

* Please specify (Other)

500 character(s) maximum

We don't represent public authorities.

* 2. What do you see as the main bottlenecks for processing renewable energy project permits? (multiple choice question)

- Lack of knowledge or data with regards to renewable energy projects and/or the necessary permitting procedures
- Lack of human resources
- Lack of skills
- Lack of political support
- Uncertainty of the political framework
- Lack of public acceptance / conflict between public goods
- Complexity of coordination at different levels of government or administration

Other

* Please specify (Other)

500 character(s) maximum

We don't represent public authorities.

* 3. What steps have you taken in the last five years to improve permit-related and administrative procedures for renewable energy projects? (multiple choice question)

- Establishing a one-stop-shop for project promoters
- Staff increases to process an increasing number of project permits
- Training of staff
- Preparatory and planning work related to site selection, environmental impact assessments or resource mapping
- New digital tools to speed up the processing of permits
- Monitoring the situation or conducting a study into the problem
- Providing guidance and support to involved authorities at various levels
- None
- Other

* Please specify (Other)

500 character(s) maximum

We don't represent public authorities.

* 4. What steps have you taken in the last five years to increase project acceptance among the population? (multiple choice question)

- Organised a dialogue with the communities involved
- Organised an information campaign
- Introduced legislation enabling citizen and energy community participation in projects
- None
- Other

5. If you are involved in maritime spatial planning:

	Yes	No
Has the preparation and adoption of a maritime spatial plan improved the permitting and administrative procedures for offshore renewable energy projects? If yes, how?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Has the preparation and adoption of a maritime spatial plan increased project acceptance among the population? If yes, how?



To project promoters and associations

6. What are the key barriers that have prevented your project(s) from materialising in the last 5 years, if any? (Please rank their importance, 1 being the most important)

	1	2	3	4	5	no opinion
Length of administrative procedures	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Complexity of the applicable requirements or procedures	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lack of clarity on the applicable authority(-ies) with whom to coordinate each required permit	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Regulatory changes impacting the business case	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lack of access to capital/finance due to uncertainty	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Target conflicts with environmental regulations	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Land or sea conflicts with aviation or defence-related activities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Land or sea conflicts with other users (e.g. farmers, fishermen)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lack of public acceptance / conflict between public goods	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Court proceedings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lack of political support	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Grid connection issues linked to lack of available grid capacity	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Grid connection issues linked to reserved but unused capacities	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other grid connection issues (e.g. cost, unclear rules, technical issues) – please specify	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* Please specify (Grid Connection Issues)

500 character(s) maximum

Please see the Annex to our written response.

* Please specify (Other)

500 character(s) maximum

From our members' responses, the lack of clarity about the process or timeline, and the lack of transparency from the persons responsible for providing such information to project applicants were the most significant barriers to community projects. Lack of communication throughout the process, and lack of response from the concerned authorities, was also noted. This has led some of our members to focus on smaller projects, as this reduces the amount of time and complexity involved.

7. What are the key barriers that have delayed your project(s) in the last 5 years, if any? (Please rank their importance, 1 being the most important)

	1	2	3	4	5	no opinion
Length of administrative procedures	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Complexity of the applicable requirements or procedures	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lack of clarity on the applicable authority(-ies) with whom to coordinate each required permit	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Regulatory changes impacting the business case	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lack of access to capital/finance due to uncertainty	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Target conflicts with environmental regulations	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Land or sea conflicts with aviation or defence-related activities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Land or sea conflicts with other users (e.g. farmers, fishermen)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lack of public acceptance / target conflicts	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Court proceedings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lack of political support	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Grid connection issues linked to lack of available grid capacity	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Grid connection issues linked to reserved but unused capacities	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other grid connection issues (e.g. cost, unclear rules, technical issues) – please specify	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

8. What good practices (if any) have you encountered in the areas of simplified permit-related and administrative procedures? (can be EU/national or international)

500 character(s) maximum

A couple of our members cited the fact that there are simplified procedures for registering and permitting for smaller projects. For instance, in Portugal for installations below 30 kWp, the registration process is automatic. On the other hand, in France, projects with less than 250 kWp are subject to a simplified procedure (a draft decree would increase this threshold to 500 kWp)

9. Has any of your renewable or electricity infrastructure projects been classified as being of “overriding public interest” as defined in Article 6(4) of the [Habitats Directive](#)?

- Yes
- No

* 10. Are you planning lifetime extension, repowering (as defined in Art 2(10) of the [Renewable Energy Directive](#)) or decommissioning of your installations in the next 5 years?

- Lifetime extension
- Repowering
- Decommissioning
- None of these

11. If applicable: what is the main driver behind your decision to repower? (select top 3)

at most 3 choice(s)

- End of public support
- Site/resource-related considerations
- Lower cost/improved efficiency of technology
- Potential for projects involving e.g. renewable hydrogen production or storage
- End of building permit
- End or change in land/sea lease permit or ownership contract
- End of operation/maintenance contract
- End of lifetime of the asset
- Familiarity of the local community with the project
- Simplified permit procedure taking into account only the additional elements of the repowered installation
- Lower cost than dismantling
- Other

* Please specify (Other)

500 character(s) maximum

n/a

12. What do you see as the main constraint or barrier to repowering? (select top 3)

at most 3 choice(s)

- Lack of a suitable regulatory framework to simplify permit for repowering
- Lack of a business case
- Restrictions related to grid capacity
- Lack of social acceptance / conflict between public goods
- (Additional) construction or spatial planning procedures
- (Additional) environmental assessment needs
- Other
- No opinion

13. What bad practices (if any) have you encountered in the areas of permit application/granting and administrative procedures specifically for repowering?

500 character(s) maximum

Members cited a couple of examples.

In Germany, one of our members stated that the German Government implemented a smaller permitting procedure for Repowering. However, it could not be used, because the authorities did not know how to put the regulation into practice. Therefore they decided to follow the normal permitting procedure for a new installation.

In Portugal, one of our members stated that there is uneven implementation of documentation and unavailability of professional support.

14. What good practices have you encountered in the areas of permit application /granting and administrative procedures specifically for repowering, if any? (can be EU/national or international)

500 character(s) maximum

n/a

15. What regulatory changes at EU or national level, if any, would be beneficial to create a more supportive framework for combined technology power plants (e.g.

wind combined with solar), or renewable energy power plants combined with an electrolyser for renewable hydrogen production or a storage facility?

500 character(s) maximum

It is essential to invest much more in RES research, starting by excluding fissile and fossil energies (natural gas) from the European taxonomy.

16. What bad practices (if any) have you encountered in the area of early public involvement and public participation (including financial participation) in renewable energy projects?

500 character(s) maximum

Members cited a couple of examples.

A member from France noted that there is a recurrent linguistic drift: what is often called participatory or civic investment is often just a financial product. It is important to distinguish between participatory investment (which allows participation in governance) and participatory financing (financial product).

A member from Portugal noted bureaucracy and lack of long-term plans (or willingness to fulfil long-term objectives) by local authorities.

17. What good practices, if any, have you encountered in the area of early public involvement and public participation (including financial participation) in renewable energy projects?

500 character(s) maximum

Please see the Annex to our written response.

18. What bad practices of public authorities, if any, have you encountered in spatial planning, helping developers in identifying suitable sites?

500 character(s) maximum

Members cited a couple of examples.

A member from France noted they are regularly confronted with a total absence of response from the Direction départementale des territoires et de la mer (DDTM) on our "objective" requests on the stakes, the regulatory documents etc. They question whether this is a strategy to convince the promoter to abandon the project.

A member from Portugal noted the existence of inconsistent goals within public authorities, and land ownership issues.

19. What good practices of public authorities, if any, have you encountered in spatial planning, helping developers in identifying suitable sites?

500 character(s) maximum

A member from France noted that dialogues and meetings (in particular bilateral) with the State services upstream of the filing of applications for environmental authorizations, i.e. with the departmental ENR poles (Prefecture competence, led by the DDTM). Often the ENR pole is only proposed just before the application is filed, which does not allow for the collective qualification and orientation of the choice of sites.

20. What good practices, if any, have you encountered in the area of multiple use of space for renewable energy projects?

500 character(s) maximum

Member cited a couple examples.

A member in France noted that agrivoltism is a promising model for the mutual use of space. This framework is currently being set up in France.

A member in Croatia noted that there is great interest of public authorities in participating in such projects with the desire to carry out additional activities for citizens.

21. In the countries where you operate, has (maritime) spatial planning helped developers in identifying and securing suitable sites?

- Yes
- No

22. Do you/your company/your organisation have further comments on accelerating permitting of renewable energy projects?

1000 character(s) maximum

A member from Italy noted that a recently approved law identifies the installation of PV plants on rooves as an "ordinary maintenance activity", which is thus subject to a simple communication to the municipal offices instead of an authorization, which is positive!

The definition of "go areas" (e.g. around industrial sites) could further contribute to simplify the authorization procedures.

For other comments summarizing the views of REScoop.eu and its members, please see our written submission.

Please upload your file(s)

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Facilitating Power Purchase Agreements

* 23. Which of the below best describes your situation:

- I am involved in PPAs as a seller of electricity
- I am involved in PPAs as a purchaser / off-taker of electricity
- I am involved in PPAs as an intermediary or facilitator (e.g. utility, trader, network operator)
- I am involved in several of these activities
- I am not involved yet but I am planning to do
- I am not involved and I am not planning to be (anymore).

24. What is/was the main driver behind your willingness to engage in PPAs?

at most 3 choice(s)

- Hedging electricity price over the mid to long term
- Secure power over the mid to long term
- Demonstrating the purchase of renewable energy for disclosure purposes
- Need to find new forms of revenue stabilisation as public support decreases
- Other

Please specify (Other)

500 character(s) maximum

25. What is the main barrier you have encountered when entering into PPAs?

at most 3 choice(s)

- Market prices volatility or market price uncertainty in general

- Lack of transparency and information on PPA prices
- Restrictions from publicly-funded support schemes preventing sellers from offering attractive PPAs terms
- Length of preparing ad hoc documentation and contracts and lack of template / standard agreements
- Administrative or regulatory barriers specific to PPAs
- Lack of possibility to combine the PPA with a Guarantee of Origin or other certificates
- Lack of possibility to book capacity (physical or financial) across bidding zones
- Variable generation profile of renewable energy sources
- Lack of facilitative platforms supporting the matching of sellers with interested off-takers; lack of aggregation options
- Difficulty finding off-take volumes beyond the largest corporates
- Low credit worthiness of off-takers
- Duration of the PPA typically not matching the tenor of the debt required for project financing
- Other

Please specify (Other)

26. Have you encountered any **good practices** in relation to solving the barriers listed in question [21] above?

500 character(s) maximum

Please see the Annex to our written response.

27. What **regulatory changes** (in current EU legislation or national-level legislation) , if any, would you consider most important to foster the deployment of corporate PPAs in Europe in the next few years?

500 character(s) maximum

Please see the Annex to our written response

28. Which form of **financial support** (including debt or guarantee instruments) would you consider most effective in fostering the deployment of corporate PPAs in Europe in the next few years?

500 character(s) maximum

Please see the Annex to our written response. the two mechanisms described above (questions 26 and 27): a fund guaranteed by the State, and a mechanism of additional remuneration which is activated in case of default of the buyer in PPA, are good for fostering the development of PPAs.

29. Do you/your company/your organisation have any further comments on facilitating Power Purchase Agreements?

1000 character(s) maximum

n/a

Please upload your file(s)

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

e3ea9cf0-389b-4322-a09c-07cb047586d6/REScoopEU_Response_-_Consultation_on_Permitting_for_Renewables.pdf

Contact

ENER-C1-SECRETARIAT-1@ec.europa.eu

